

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,818	10/19/2001	Ichiko Mayuzumi	35.G2925	7568	
5514	7590 05/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER &	PAN, YUWEN			
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2682		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/981,818	MAYUZUMI, ICHIKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yuwen Pan	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rill NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than those months after the main tearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tireply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	February 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,4-6,18 and 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,4-6,18 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/981,818 Page 2

Art Unit: 2682

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-6, 18, and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

2. The examiner acknowledged that claims 2,3, 7-17, 19, 20, 22, 23 have been canceled without prejudice.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-6, 18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips (US006748195B1) in view of Yoshinaga (US006317582B1).

Per claims 1 and 18, Phillips discloses an input apparatus connectable to a plurality of devices (see figures 3), said apparatus comprising: display means for displaying a list of the plurality of connected devices (see figure 5-8, column 6, lines 27-42); selection means for selecting one of the plurality of devices based on the list displayed by said display means (see column 6 and lines 44-47); and operation means for operating the device selected by said selection means (see column 5 and lines 47-65). Phillips doesn't teach that said display means displays the list of the plurality of connected devices according to radio communication in different modes for the device capable of being operated by said operation means and other devices unable to be operated by said operation means. Yohsinaga teaches that display means displays the list of the

plurality of connected devices according to radio communication in different modes for the device capable of being operated by said operation means and other devices unable to be operated by said operation means (see figure 1 and column 3 16-38, column 5 and lines 46-59). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Yoshinaga with Phillips' device such that it is convenience for a user to observe and select one wireless connection mode among of all the available ones.

Per claims 4 and 5 Phillips further teaches that the connection is performed in a communication mode for device operated by said operation means, and the connection is performed in a low power consumption mode for the other devices, wherein the low power consumption mode is one of a park mode, a hold mode and a sniff mode (see column 1 and line 61-column 2 and line 8).

Per claim 6, Phillips further teaches a pointing device see column 1 and lines 20-25).

Per claim 21, Phillips discloses an input apparatus comprising: connection means for performing radio connection to a plurality of devices (see column 3 and lines 19-32), operation means for operating one of the plurality of devices subjected to radio connection, wherein said connection means performs radio connection to the device operated by said operation means and to other devices in different modes (see column 6 and lines 11-26). Phillips doesn't teach that said display means displays the list of the plurality of connected devices according to radio communication in different modes for the device capable of being operated by said operation

Art Unit: 2682

means and other devices unable to be operated by said operation means. Yohsinaga teaches that display means displays the list of the plurality of connected devices according to radio communication in different modes for the device capable of being operated by said operation means and other devices unable to be operated by said operation means (see figure 1 and column 3 16-38, column 5 and lines 46-59). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Yoshinaga with Phillips' device such that it is convenience for a user to observe and select one wireless connection mode among of all the available ones.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,818 Page 5

Art Unit: 2682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yuwen Pan May 10, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600